

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/748,669 | 12/31/2003 | Donald C. Wood | CLEV:629 | 2780 |
| 6160 | 7590 11/19/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| PARKHURST & WENDEL, L.L.P. 1421 PRINCE STREET | | | BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER | |
| SUITE 210 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-2805 | | | 3711 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | 10/748,669 | WOOD ET AL. | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| - | | Stephen L. Blau | 3711 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 November 2004</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th | nis action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5) 6) 7) | Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction and/or | rawn from consideration. | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| 11) | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the l | | , , , | | | |
| Priority u | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| a)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Buresee the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati iority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Inform | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 r No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 8) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/748,669 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-6, drawn to a golf club, classified in class 473, subclass 282.
 - II. Claims 7-9, drawn to a method of prescribing a club length, classified in class 473, subclass 409.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of a golf club and a method of prescribing a club length are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used as golf club or a weight training device
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

to build up the swinging muscles of a golfer.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,669 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

4. If Group I claims are elected of a golf club, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- a. Species 1 (Fig. 2A):
- b. Species 2 (Fig. 2B): Claim 4.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently for group I claims, claims 1-3 and 5 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/748,669

Art Unit: 3711

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was made to Mr. Roger W. Parkhurst on 8 November 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Blau whose telephone number is (571) 272-4406. The examiner is available Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. If the examiner is unavailable you can contact his supervisor Greg Vidovich whose telephone number is (571) 272-4415.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,669

Art Unit: 3711

slb/ 14 November 2004

STEPHEN BLAU

Page 5